Physical Controls on Dissolved Oxygen and Inorganic Carbon Dynamics in Estuaries: Insights from Simplified Numerical Models



Malcolm Scully Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution mscully@whoi.edu

> OCB Summer Workshop June 24-27, 2019



Motivation:

- 1) Hypoxia in estuaries is a growing issues of major economic importance.
- 2) Estuaries are thought to be globally important sources of CO<sub>2</sub>, but large uncertainties remain in these estimates.

Goal:

Use idealized numerical models to provide insight into how estuarine physics modulates low dissolved oxygen (hypoxia) and impacts oxygen and carbon fluxes.

- a) Part 1 Simulations of oxygen dynamics in Chesapeake Bay
- b) Part 2 -- Simulations of coupled  $O_2$ /DIC in estuary with idealized bathymetry.

Conclusions: Physics are important



# Simplified Dissolved Oxygen Modeling in Chesapeake Bay

- Oxygen is introduced as an additional model tracer.
- Oxygen consumption (respiration) is constant in time and space (~0.4gO<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>3</sup>/day).
- No oxygen consumption outside of estuarine portion of model
- No oxygen production.
- At both surface and open boundaries, O<sub>2</sub> concentration is set to saturation.
- No negative oxygen concentration and no supersaturation.



Model assumes biology is constant so that the role of physical processes can be isolated!

# What Physical Variables Contribute to Seasonal Cycle of Hypoxia?



Scully JGR-2013



Scully L&O-2016



#### **Importance of Wind Forcing**



#### **Along Bay Bottom Dissolved Oxygen Variations**





In estuaries, DIC and alkalinity are often strongly related to salinity. Stratified estuaries will have strong vertical gradients in DIC/alkalinity and vertical mixing will result in non-linear response of carbonate chemistry.

ALKALINITY (meq.liter-1)



2006

1500

2000

2000

1044

OIC (µM)

Jul 9

Jun 9

Nov

Sep 96

Mar 97

Jul 97

Dec 97

Nov

Apr 9

Sep 9

SALINITY (0/00)

# **Model for Coupled Oxygen-DIC Dynamics**



- 1) Gross Primary Production based on simple P-I curve (produces/consume O<sub>2</sub> and DIC). No nutrients, phytoplankton, zooplankton, etc....
- 2) Community Respiration is constant in time and space and selected to give a prescribed Net Ecosystem Metabolism (NEM).
- 3 DIC, alkalinity at river and oceanic boundaries is prescribed (constant in time). Riverine water is super-saturated with pCO<sub>2</sub>.
- 4) Alkalinity is conservative (no sources/sinks).
- 5) Carbonate chemistry equilibrium Millero (1995).
- 6) Air sea flux is calculated using estuarine piston formulation of Raymond et al (2000).
- 7) Systematically vary: 1) Tides, 2) River Discharge, 3) Wind, 4) GPP and CR (holding NEM constant)

#### **Example Model Run:**



# **Example Model (cont)**

Simulation = Tidal Amplitude = 0.3m; River Discharge = 200 m<sup>3</sup>/s; Wind = 4 m/s (rotating);NEM = Heterotrophic



- Even though NEM is spatially uniform, there is significant alongestuary variability in gas flux. This variability must be balanced by convergence/divergence in advective transport.
- 2) Stratification prevents vertical mixing allowing buildup of high pCO<sub>2</sub> in bottom waters, which is advected up estuary and outgassed where stratification is weaker.
- 3) In lower estuary,  $O_2$  flux is outward but  $CO_2$  is ~ 0, where high pH/Alkalinity ensure that  $\Delta DIC >>$  $\Delta CO_2$ .

#### **Combined Effect of Tides and River Discharge**

Simulations = Systematically vary tidal amplitude and river discharge, while holding wind (4 m/s) and NEM (heterotrophic) constant



# **Effect of Winds**



- 1) Consistent with tidal mixing, wind mixing tends to reduce magnitude air-sea outgassing/ingassing of  $CO_2/O_2$ .
- 2) The impact of wind mixing on surface concentration is greater than increase in piston velocity.
- 3) Magnitude of CO<sub>2</sub> flux is consistently smaller than O<sub>2</sub> flux, and difference increases with intensity of mixing, consistent with greater conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>.
- 4) Largest differences between  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  fluxes in high salinity/alkalinity region of estuary.

#### Effect of Biological Rates (GPP and CR)

Tidal Amplitude = 0.5m, River Discharge = 200 m<sup>3</sup>/s; Wind = 4m/s Value of NEM is <u>Heterotrophic and held constant</u>, but GPP and CR are varied



# **Effect of GPP/CR (cont)**



<u>CO<sub>2</sub> Flux:</u> For low GPP/CR 70% of NEM is outgassed and 30% is advectively exported to ocean. However, as GPP/CR increases, export at mouth decreases and more HCO<sub>3</sub> is converted to CO<sub>2</sub> (presumably in hypoxic bottom waters) and as a result, 130% of NEM is outgassed (mostly in upper estuary). <u>O<sub>2</sub> Flux:</u> For low GPP/CR 90% of O<sub>2</sub> demand is from atmospheric influx. As GPP/CR increase more O<sub>2</sub> is exported ocean at the mouth and atmospheric influx exceeds NEM. However, variation in flux are smaller for O<sub>2</sub>.



#### **Conclusions:**

- 1) A model with no biological variability can reasonably simulate bottom oxygen in Chesapeake Bay at a variety time scales.
- 2) The physical variable that contributes most of this variability is wind (though not through direct vertical mixing, but rather localized mixing and advection).
- 3) The intensity of vertical mixing plays a key roll in controlling both  $O_2$  and DIC fluxes in estuaries. Increased tidal mixing, river discharge and wind forcing all increase the importance of advective over atmospheric exchange of  $CO_2$  and  $O_2$ , but the partitioning of  $CO_2$  flux responds more strongly because of underlying carbonate chemistry.
- 4) The simulated integrated air-sea fluxes are relatively insensitive to the gas transfer (piston) velocity, despite large differences in their wind-speed dependence.
- 5) Higher GPP/CR (for constant NEM) favors greater atmospheric exchange. This exchange occurs primarily in the upper estuary, where the estuarine residual circulation transports low DO/high pCO<sub>2</sub> bottom waters up-estuary into regions of weaker stratification where atmospheric exchange occurs.



#### Mixing Drives Residual Estuarine Circulation

Mixing also supplies O<sub>2</sub> to bottom waters, controls where O<sub>2</sub> and pCO<sub>2</sub> can exchange with atmosphere, supplies nutrients to photic zone, etc.... Estuarine circulation imports/exports O<sub>2</sub>, DIC, DOC, nutrients, etc...



#### Importance of Gas Transfer Velocity (cont) Wannikhof et al (1992) Raymond et al (2000) 2 2 0 0 -31.22 mmole/m<sup>2</sup>/day 1.5 1.5 -32.43 mmole/m<sup>2</sup>/day Across Channel Dist [km] Across Channel Dist [km] 1 1 -20 -20 0.5 0.5 -40 -40 0 0 -0.5 -0.5 -60 -60 -1 -1 -80 -80 -1.5 -1.5 -2 -100 -100 100 50 50 100 0 0 Distance from Mouth [km] Distance from Mouth [km] Zappa et al (2007) Wannikhof -- No Wind 2 2 0 0 -32.43 mmole/m<sup>2</sup>/day -51.54 mmole/m<sup>2</sup>/day 1.5 1.5 Across Channel Dist [km] Across Channel Dist [km] 1 1 -20 -20 0.5 0.5 -40 -40 0 0 -0.5 -0.5 -60 -60 -1 -1 -80 -80 -1.5 -1.5

-2

0

50

Distance from Mouth [km]

100

-100

-100

-2

0

50

Distance from Mouth [km]

100

# **Response of Surface Gas Flux to Variations in Net**



- 1) Surface Flux of Oxygen is roughly equal to NEM for all condition, but influx of CO<sub>2</sub> becomes limited under net autotrophic conditions.
- 2) Net autotrophic conditions result in

# Why do oxygen and carbon dioxide responds so differently?

Simulation = No Biology, No Wind Forcing, Both  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  are supersaturated in river and in equilibrium with atmosphere in ocean.





#### What happens if you change the intensity of mixing?



 $O_2$  flux is reduced by 32%, pCO<sub>2</sub> flux is reduced by 49%



# <u>Very simple numerical simulation:</u> <u>No Biology, no wind forcing, river is super-saturated in pCO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub></u>



#### No Biology, Add Wind Forcing, Super-saturated river input



#### **Runs with no biology and super-saturated river input:**

