#### Update on an OCB-supported activity:

#### Lateral carbon flux in tidal wetlands: Filling a key knowledge gap through a methods intercomparison and data synthesis

https://www.us-ocb.org/lateral-c-flux-tidal-wetlands/

Kevin Kroeger, Maria Tzortziou, Meagan Gonneea, Chris Osburn, Aleck Wang, Neil Ganju,

Brian Bergamaschi, Alana Menendez



- The coastal zone is increasingly recognized as an important, but difficult to quantify component of the C cycle.
- Wetland lateral fluxes are poorly-known, but are estimated to be a primary feature of both wetland and estuarine budgets.
- Workshop held Aug 21-23, 2018, USGS, Woods Hole
- 29 participants with data & expertise related to wetlands C
- Objectives to:
  - Review & improve methods
  - Estimate annual rates of C exchange across contiguous U.S.

We began with the following two hypotheses:

1. Lateral flux is related to the rate of C cycling within the wetland (GPP, respiration).

2. Lateral flux is related to rate of aquatic exchange between wetland and estuary.



During the workshop we addressed and suggested approaches to the following complications that interfere with measurements of annual, net flux rates:

- Currently the Net Ecosystem Carbon Balance does not close. Measured rates of NEE are too small to support measured rates of lateral flux and net C storage.
- Classical methods attempt to measure a continuously-varying flux rate based on low frequency measurements: Suggested newer approaches to measure high frequency flux rates based on continuous sensor deployments.





• Commonly the contributing area for the lateral flux is not known: Suggested 1) mapping of drainage networks based on digital elevation model, or 2) calculation of area based on tidal volume and height.

Arrived at two approaches to estimate lateral flux at scale, following development of a set of higher confidence flux rate estimates:

1) Test relationships to measures of C supply or cycling rate and intensity of aquatic exchange. Based on those relationships, model and map lateral flux based mapped GPP, R, and tidal exchange.

2) Calculate NECB based on modeled GPP, modeled R, & estimated storage rates. Calculate lateral flux as the residual.

#### National model of GPP (Feagin, Forbrich, et al.)



National model of platform elevation within tide frame (Holmquist et al.)



Progress along 3 main fronts:

1. New and compiled field data: Individual projects developing improved or new estimates of flux rate

2. Comprehensive information on marsh productivity, based on remote sensing model

3. Comprehensive data on intensity of tidal exchange

Pending: Development of approach to predict lateral flux based on drivers

National map of tidal wetland distribution, according to major estuaries (Hinson et al. 2018, Herrmann et al. 2015 & unpubl., NOAA)





# Particulate Matter Transport Following Marsh-Edge Erosion

**Implications for Carbon Cycling** 

Julia Moriarty Collaborators: Neil Ganju, Taran Kalra, Zafer Defne

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

GRC Coastal Ocean Dynamics Manchester, NH June 16, 2019





# Modeling Marsh-Estuarine Fluxes of Particulate Matter in COAWST



**New Processes in COAWST:** 

Photo by D. Nowacki

- Vegetation-hydrodynamic interactions
- POM resuspension & transport
- Marsh-edge erosion



**COAWST:** Warner et al. (2010); **ROMS:** Haidvogel et al. (2000; 2008); Shchepetkin and McWilliams (2005; 2009); **SWAN:** Booij et al. (1999); **CSTMS:** Warner et al. (2008); Sherwood et al. (2018); **Veg/POM:** Beudin et al. (2017); Kalra et al. (2017); Moriarty et al. (2017); Fennel et al. (2006)



Spatial Variability in Particulate Fluxes

Erosion and export of particulate matter from the marsh is largest near barrier-island inlets.



Moriarty et al. (in prep); Image after FitzGerald et al. (2008)



Spatial Variability in Particulate Fluxes

Erosion and export of particulate matter from the marsh is largest near barrier-island inlets.

 Deposition on marsh platform is largest near estuarine channels



Moriarty et al. (in prep); Image after FitzGerald et al. (2008)

# Impacts of estuarine dynamics on CO<sub>2</sub> air-sea exchange

Jenny Thomas Malcolm Scully OCB 2019 Lightning Talk

Estuaries are proposed to play an important role in the global carbon budget, but there are considerable spatial and temporal uncertainties in their atmospheric fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub>

loods Hole



# Air-sea fluxes are spatially variable

 Model reasonably accurately reproduces observed oxygen (*Scully JGR 2018*)



- Spatially variable
- Stratification limits CO<sub>2</sub> outgassing in lower bay
- Annually integrated CO<sub>2</sub> flux is outward

# Air-sea fluxes are temporally variable



- Sometimes direction of flux in agreement with NEM (e.g. Days 31 and 145)
- Numerous times CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes smaller or in opposite direction than suggested by NEM (e.g. Day 283)

 Characterizing the spatiotemporal pCO<sub>2</sub> dynamics associated with water masses in the river-dominated East China Sea

SHOU-EN TSAO

**CO-AUTHORS:** 

PO-YUAN SHEN, YI-CHUN YANG, CHUN-MAO TSENG

Institute of Oceanography, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan <cmtseng99@ntu.edu.tw>



### **CO<sub>2</sub> Dynamics/Fluxes in the ECS**



#### Upper panel:

 $CO_2$  budgets associated with water masses which arrows represent  $CO_2$  sink/source (unit: Mt C).

#### Lower panel :

processes corresponding to the water masse from inner-shelf to outer-shelf.



# Temporal changes in nitrous oxide sources and sea-air fluxes in the Southern Benguela

Annie Bourbonnais (University of South Carolina) Sarah Fawcett, Raquel Flynn, Jessica Burger (University of Cape Town, South Africa) Mark Altabet (University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth)

N<sub>2</sub>O is a potent greenhouse gas and stratospheric ozone depleting substance. The open ocean accounts for up to 30% of total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, but fluxes in highly productive or low-O<sub>2</sub> coastal regions are still poorly quantified.





#### Stations sampled:

- a) 10 day experiment in Elands Bay, December 2016 (1 stn, star)
- b) transect in the Southern Benguela in Feb 2017 (period of upwelling, black dots), and Aug 2017 (non upwelling period, red circles and numbers).



#### Temporal changes in nitrous oxide sources and sea-air fluxes in the Southern Benguela

# 1) What are the sources of water column N<sub>2</sub>O?

→ Temporal variations in N<sub>2</sub>O sources: nitrification/denitrification in the sediments (Feb and Aug 2017) versus water-column nitrification (Dec 2016).

# 2) What is the temporal variability of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions?

→ Highest in Feb and Aug 2017. Lowest in Dec 2016 after an upwelling event.

# 3) Is the sea-air flux of N<sub>2</sub>O significant in this region?

→ Fatm = 0 to 9  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> Peru coast: 459 to 1,825  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> Northern Benguela: -1.8 to 45.6  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>



 $\Delta N_2 O = 22 \text{ nmol } L^{-1}$ , 305% supersaturation).



Xue et al., 2016

## Carbon from Eroded Soil

Predicted Land Change over the Next 50 Years







Understanding and Quantifying Carbon Export to Coastal Oceans through Deltaic Systems

Wetland survey + Coastal Survey + Remote Sensing + Numerical Modeling





#### Modeling the Phytoplankton Bloom Dynamics on the Northwest Atlantic Shelf: Spatial Heterogeneity and Seasonal Variability

Zhixuan Feng, Rubao Ji, Cabell Davis, and Heidi Sosik Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Changsheng Chen University of Massachusetts Dartmouth



### **NES-LTER Observations**

### Model Results



- Contrasting production and trophic-level energy transfer regimes:
- Winter-spring: nutrient rich, high productivity, large phytoplankton dominated, and high export efficiency.
- Summer: nutrient depleted, low productivity, small phytoplankton dominated, and low export efficiency.



## Modeling the Antarctic Peninsula biogeochemistry

#### **Cristina Schultz**

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **Freshwater influence**

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Sources:
  - Meteoric (glacial discharge and precipitation)
  - Icebergs
  - Sea ice

### Influence:

- Stratification
- Light
- Nutrients (specially iron!)

### **DIC** anomalies

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Circulation, sea ice and biogeochemistry model (MITgcm, REcoMv2)
- Need for more iron and inorganic carbon data

# Springtime export of Arctic sea ice shapes the phytoplankton production in the Greenland Sea

Nicolas Mayot\*, P. Matrai, A. Arjona, S. Bélanger, C. Marchese, T. Jaegler,

M. Ardyna and M. Steele

\*Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences, Maine, USA – nmayot@bigelow.org

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

Ocean Biology and Biogeochemistry program

![](_page_26_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

30 76° Sea Ice Concentration Anomaly (%) 160 75°N а 140 74°N lce area flux (10<sup>3</sup> km<sup>2</sup>) 73°N 120 10 72°N 00 15°W 20°W 10°W 5°W 0 80 60 40 75°N -20 20 74°N 73°N 0 -30 2006 2012 2014 2016 2018 2004 2008 2010 72°N 15°W 20°W 10°W

Relationship between the exported Arctic sea ice and the sea ice distribution in the Greenland Sea

### Nicolas Mayot

Post-doctoral Researcher Bigelow Laboratory for Ocean Sciences **Markon Markov Markov** Markov M Markov Ma Markov Markov

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Anthropogenic Carbon Uptake Across the Arctic**

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)