Sub(Intra)-seasonal variability in driving physical and biogeochemical dynamics in the Southern Ocean

2 Magdalena M Carranza

Collaborators: Sarah T Gille, Peter JS Franks, Ken Johnson,

Rob Pinkel and James Girton



OCB Workshop 2018, Woods Hole, MA



Southern Ocean Circulation and Biogeochemistry

Strong westerly winds



[•] Morrison et al. (2015)

Southern Ocean Circulation and Biogeochemistry



Highly dynamic region

- + Synoptic-storm activity
- + Weak stratification
- + Vigorous eddy field
- + Flow strongly influenced by topography

Rintoul (2018) •

Sources of Subseasonal Variability:

- 1. Atmospheric weather: externally forced
- 2. Oceanic weather: internally forced
- 3. Ocean-atmosphere weather interactions

Synoptic Storms 2 - 10 days



500 – 1000 km

Sources of Subseasonal Variability:

1. Atmospheric weather: externally forced



- 2. Oceanic weather: internally forced
- 3. Ocean-atmosphere weather interactions

Oceanic Weather

218

Mesoscale eddies O(10-100) Km, ~ weeks to months

Submesoscale filaments O(1-10) Km, ~ few days

South Atlantic Summer Bloom

Envisat's MERIS Ocean Color (300 m), ESA •

Oceanic Weather



Sources of Subseasonal Variability:

1. Atmospheric weather: externally forced



2. Oceanic weather: internally forced



3. Ocean-atmosphere weather interactions

Ocean-Atmosphere Weather Interactions



Why do we care?

✓ Interesting phenomena per se...

 Weather timescales (~days) resonate with the life cycle of phytoplankton

 Weather perturbations (short/small time/space scales) can influence climate (longer/larger scales)

Small-scale Impacts on the Carbon System

• CARIOCA drifters (hourly, 1-3km)

Small-scale Variability:



Small spatial-scale structures (~100 km) are a non-negligible source of variability for DIC, with amplitudes of about a third of the variations associated with the seasonality

Resplandy et al. (2014) •

Subseasonal Impacts on the Carbon System



Thomalla et al. (2011), Monteiro et al. (2015) •

Atmospheric Weather

Stormy Seas



very deep mixed-layer depths (MLD) and gloomy conditions

Phytoplankton Blooms



Chl-a response to high winds Wind speed vs Chl-a Wind speed vs MLD 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.2 0.2 n 0 -0.2 -0.2 -0.4 -0.4 -0.6 -0.6 -0.8 -0.8

High winds deepen the mixed layer and enhance satellite Chl-a

Carranza and Gille (2015), JGR

Storm-driven mixing enhances summer primary production



Entrainment of Fe impacting growth rates?

Storm-driven mixing enhances summer primary production (by 60%)

• Nicholson et al (2016)

Chl-a response to high winds

High winds enhance satellite Chl-a through the summer

Chl-a vs wind speed, 3-day lag



Entrainment of Fe impacting growth rates?



Chl-a response to high winds High winds enhance satellite Chl-a through the summer Chl-a vs wind speed, zero lag (daily data) Entrainment of Fe impacting growth 0.8 rates? 0.6 0.4 2. Entrainment of Chl-a 0.2 from a deep Chl-a maximum? 0 -0.2 Reduced grazing 1. -0.4 pressure on -0.6 phytoplankton due to dilution effects? -0.8

New Tools: Bio-optical profiles



Chl-a vertical structure



Chl-a and Bpb unevenness within mixed layer: seasonal variability

Unlike temperature, Chl-a fluorescence and Particle Backscatter show consistently large variance within the mixed layer in all seasons

Standard Deviation Index (SDI): compares variability within the mixed layer with spatial variability across SO mixed layers



Bio-optical profile fits



Gaussian vs non-Gaussian



Occurrence of Deep Maxima (DM)



Nighttime DM are more frequent in summer, but may occur in all seasons and are generally well-correlated with maxima in particle backscattering

DFMD vs Mixed-layer Depth (MLD)

MLD Definition: $\Delta \sigma = 0.03$



DFM above the MLD: Sensitivity to MLD definition



Fraction of DFM in mixed layer depends on mixed layer definition:

- Δσ= 0.005 (mixing layer)
- Δσ=0.03 (canonical)

At least ~ 20% of profiles with DFM show subsurface maxima above! the MLD

Mixed layers vs Mixing Layers

The mixing layer

 (i.e. actively turbulent)
 is fundamentally different from the mixed layer
 (i.e. homogenous in density from a past mixing event)



- Light decreases exponentially with depth and turbulence stirs phytoplankton through the light gradient
- The trade-off between timescales of mixing and photoadaptation determine whether gradients in Chl-a fluorescence can form within a hydrographic mixed layer:

 $\tau_{bio} > \tau_{mix} \longrightarrow$ Uniform Chl-a $\tau_{bio} < \tau_{mix} \longrightarrow$ Vertical gradients in Chl-a can exist



Biological and Mixing Timescales

The existence of gradients and variance in ChI-a fluorescence and Bpb within mixed layers homogeneous in density suggest that the biological timescales of photo-adaptation to light (i.e. growth/photo-acclimation) are shorter than mixing timescales:







Storm-mixing Timescales



Storm timescales imply biology restratifies in less than 1-3 days

Wind-profile matchups



Wind-profile matchups: What happens after a storm?



Biological restratification timescales of < 3 days

Implications

 Hydrographic mixed layers are not mixed in bio-optical properties



 Biological timescales for growth might be shorter than previously thought, i.e. SO's phytoplankton is well adapted to cold temperatures, low light and Fe conditions

 Seasonality/Asymmetry in storm-mixing timescales suggests atmospheric weather can have a net effect on annual means and longer-term biogeochemical properties

Oceanic Weather

Hotspots for Eddy Activity

• Downstream of topographic features



Submesoscale patchiness linked to topography



Rosso et al. (2015), Rosso et al. (2016) •

Submesoscale Impacts on Fe supply



Iron fluxes are enhanced by a factor of 2 by the sub-mesoscales, though differences in mean [Fe] are small

Rosso et al. (2015), Rosso et al. (2016) •

Submesoscale Observations...

Southern Drake Passage (ChinStrAP) Glider observations (1-3km, hr) crossing fronts



evidence for intermittent episodes of a highly active submesoscale field during summer months

ymmetric Instability

51 W

579

ChinStrAF

60 S

S 19

62 S

63 S

SG-W

59 W

57 W

55 W

S. Am.

PWP: 1-D bulk mixed layer model mPWP: PWP + parameterized submesoscale

Ekman buoyancy flux and BCI are at least as important as the surface wind and buoyancy forcing in setting mixed layer variability in the Southern Ocean

Submesoscale Impacts on Subduction of Chl-a



Davs

Evidence of submesoscale-driven subduction of Chl-a.. contributing to the formation of deep Chl-a maxima inn summer



Late summer



Erickson et al. (2016)

Wind modulation of upwelling at a SBF Shelf-break Front (SBF)

Patagonian Shelf







Chl-a is uniformly sustained at fronts

Carranza et al. (2017), JGR •

Satellite Chl-a response to along-front winds at the SBF

Composites of satellite Chl-a by along-front wind direction



Evidence of isopycnal tilting due to changing winds: synoptic evidence



Siedlecki et al. (2011) •

Conclusions

- Synoptic winds impact MLD and Chla variance within the ML: enhanced ML variance during periods of quiescensce between high wind events. Seasonal asymmetry in storm timescales (longer interstorm periods in summer) could impact annual means
- Mesoscale eddies modulate MLD variability. Asymmetric contribution of eddies to MLD variability (greater for anticyclones vs cyclones). Stronger signal in winter vs summer, and over localized regions. Impacts on surface Chl-a through changes in light and nutrient supply
- Highly energetic submesoscale dynamics downstream of topography that can be active in the summertime!, enhance Fe supply and support along-isopycnal subduction of Chla below the seasonal MLD
- Wind-mesoscale interactions can potentially enhance nutrient fluxes.. and modulate Chla (e.g. at a shelf-break front)

Winds and Currents Mission (WaCM)

- Ka-band rotating pencil beam Doppler scatterometer
- Winds measured from Ka or Ka/Ku s0 measurements at multiple azimuth angles or jointly with Doppler for direction ambiguity removal.
- Surface currents from Doppler measurements
- Temporal coverage > 1/day
- Wide-swath and fast sampling result in less aliasing of time-averaged currents and derivatives (D. Chelton) **
 - Mitigates noisier single-pass measurements
 - True surface currents (ageostrophic & surface)

WaCM versus SWOT Measurement Swaths





Mark Bourassa (FSU), Ernesto Rodriguez (JPL), Sarah Gille (SIO) •

References

- Carranza, M. M., S. T. Gille, P. J. S. Franks, K. S. Johnson, J. B. Girton, and R. Pinkel (2018), When mixed layers are not mixed. Storm-driven mixing and bio-optical vertical gradients in mixed layers of the Southern Ocean, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, in revision.
- Carranza, M. M., S. T. Gille, A. R. Piola, M. Charo, and S. I. Romero (2017), Wind modulation of upwelling at the shelf-break front off Patagonia: Observational evidence, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*.
- Carranza, M. M., and S. T. Gille (2015), Southern Ocean wind-driven entrainment enhances satellite chlorophyll-a through the summer, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 120
- Erickson, Z. K., A. F. Thompson, N. Cassar, J. Sprintall, and M. R. Mazloff (2016), An advective mechanism for deep chlorophyll maxima formation in southern Drake Passage, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 1–10.
- Monteiro, P., L. Gregor, and M. Levy (2015), Intraseasonal variability linked to sampling alias in air-sea CO2 fluxes in the Southern Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters.
- Nicholson, S.-A., M. Levy, J. Llort, S. Swart, and P. M. S. Monteiro (2016), Investigation into the impact of storms on sustaining summer primary productivity in the Sub-Antarctic Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters.
- Resplandy, L., J. Boutin, and L. Merlivat (2014), Observed small spatial scale and seasonal variability of the CO₂ system in the Southern Ocean, *Biogeosciences*, 11(1), 75–90.
- Rosso, I., A. M. Hogg, R. Matear, and P. G. Strutton (2016), Quantifying the influence of submesoscale dynamics on the supply of iron to Southern Ocean phytoplankton blooms, *Deep-Sea Research Part I*, 115(C), 199–209, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2016.06.009.
- Viglione, G. A., A. F. Thompson, M. M. Flexas, J. Sprintall, and S. Swart (2018), Abrupt transitions in submesoscale structure in Southern Drake Passage: Glider observations and model results, *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, in press.