Exchange, rearrange, and change: Dynamic ecosystem processes along the Western Antarctic Peninsula (WAP)

Jeff Bowman Scripps Institution of Oceanography jsbowman@ucsd.edu www.polarmicrobes.org

- Exchange: An introduction to the WAP marine environment
- Rearrange: A seascape unit view of WAP ecosystem dynamics
- Change: Gene expression analysis of light acclimation during the winter-spring seasonal transition



Polar Charcot Island

NASA/Goddard Space Flight Center Scientific Visualization Studio

- The WAP is recognized as one of the most rapidly warming places on Earth
- The location of the WAP near the sea ice edge means that it is vulnerable to very small perturbations
- Are we seeing an exchanging of the polar WAP ecosystem with a subpolar ecosystem?

Introduction to the western Antarctic Peninsula



- There has been a noticeable decline in sea ice extent since 1981 across the central WAP, though sea ice has been higher most recently
- Changing sea ice cover exerts multiple influences on the WAP ecosystem





Ducklow et al. 2013

• Decreased sea ice cover means increased light – more photosynthesis



• Decreased sea ice cover means increased light – more photosynthesis





Ducklow et al. 2013

- Decreased sea ice cover means increased light more photosynthesis
- Decreased sea ice cover also means increased vertical mixing reduced light and increased physical losses



Sea ice, like porridge, needs to be just right...



Bloom initiates early in the spring at the sea ice edge and follows the sea ice retreat.

- Decreased sea ice cover means increased light more photosynthesis
- Decreased sea ice cover also means increased vertical mixing reduced light and increased physical losses



- Combined effects:
 - A decrease in optimal conditions along the northern WAP?
 - An increase in optimal conditions along the southern WAP?



Palmer LTER has been studying ecological processes along the WAP since 1993



To identify ecological trends we were motivated to develop a seascape unit classification approach based on key parameters collected by the LTER



- T, S, macronutrients, chlorophyll a are used to train a self organizing map (SOM)
- The SOM is clustered into seascape units
- Objectively mapping the original data allows us to project the seascape units onto the LTER sampling grid
- We can then look at the distribution of seascape units across time and space



Bowman et al., 2018

250 200 150 100 50 Station

Rearrange: A seascape unit view of the WAP





- LTER cruises form two significant clusters based on SU relative abundance
- Type I years are dominated by SU 8 or SUs 2&3
- Type II years are dominated by SU 1







Bowman et al., 2018



What physical drivers of this system might control the distribution of SUs, and thereby determine year type?

We evaluated time-lagged modes of climate variability (AO, PDO, ENSO) and ice condition (fOW, OW, Ice)

- Type I: Spring pack ice is extensive and consolidated.
 "Typical" nearshore conditions (SU 8) are extensive, WW (SUs 2&3) is more abundant, and more chlorophyll is observed in the nearshore.
- Type II: Spring pack ice is reduced and fragmented.
 "Typical" offshore conditions (SU 1) are extensive, WW (SUs 2&3) is less abundant, and more chlorophyll is observed mid-shelf.
- This may result from a suppression of an earlier bloom by wind in Type II years.
- Spring ice conditions well beyond the observational horizon of the LTER cruise – can exert a strong impact on the ecosystem in January

Bowman et al., 2018

Change: Patterns in gene expression during the polar spring



Change: Patterns in gene expression during the polar spring



MMETSP0329 MMETSP1423 MMETSP1437 MMETSP0174 MMETSP0816 GCF_000012345. MMETSP0327 MMETSP0139 MMETSP0850 MMETSP0149 MMETSP0970 IMETSP117 MMETSP0105 MMETSP0370 MMETSP0367 MMETSP1370 MMETSP0449 MMETSP0208 MMETSP0463 MMETSP1380 MMETSP012 MMETSP0205 MMETSP0127 MMETSP0216 MMETSP1396 MMETSP1464 MMETSP1065 MMETSP1443 MMETSP1452 MMETSP0724 GCF_000012305.1 MMETSP0947 MMETSP0058 MMETSP1339 MMETSP1002 GCF_000828715 GCF 000738435. GCF 000967895 MMETSP1071 MMETSP0910 MMETSP0737 MMETSP1067 MMETSP0902 MMETSP0169 MMETSP1336 MMETSP1058

MMETSP1071: Thalassiosira sp. MMETSP0910: Thalassiosira rotula MMETSP0737: Thalassiosira miniscula MMETSP1067: Thalassiosira punctigera MMETSP0902: Thalassiosira antarctica MMETSP0169: Corethron pennatum MMETSP1336: Chaetoceros neogracile MMETSP1058: Detonula confervacea

Ice Station	Station B	Station E	Incubations	Time (days)
А				-7
В	-		В	0
			С	2
E			D	4
	F			6
	·		G/H	7
				14
	le L	J/K		15
N		-		19
	0	1.		22
	R			27
	W	-		34

All differentially expressed genes for select transcriptomes (5664 enzymes observed, 1077 differentially expressed)



Change: Patterns in gene expression during the polar spring



Change: Patterns in gene expression during the polar spring

WGCNA for *C. neogracile*, based on differential expression pattern



Hypothesis: Lipid stores are rapidly consumed when (stable) preferential growth conditions are encountered.

Summary

• Exchange:

- The marine ecosystem of the WAP is changing rapidly in response to shifting climatic conditions and changing trophic structures.
- Changing sea ice conditions can have positive and negative effects on the development of the spring bloom.
- Rearrange:
 - Seascape units provide a way to identify trends that may not be identifiable by observing individual parameters alone.
 - Specifically, the onshore-offshore distribution of chlorophyll is strongly dependent on the distribution of seascapes.
- Change:
 - Gene expression analysis can be used to identify specific physiological responses to the presence or absence of sea ice.
 - For example, genes associated with lipid catabolism are dramatically upregulated in the absence of sea ice. This suggests that phytoplankton quickly consume their energy stores as they emerge from polar darkness.

Acknowledgements

- The Palmer LTER program
- The crew of the ARSV Laurence Gould
- The science support staff at Palmer Station
- Collaborators on seascape project
 - Hugh Ducklow (LDEO)
 - Scott Doney (UV)
 - Maria Kavanaugh (OSU)

Collaborators on gene expression project

- Hugh Ducklow (LDEO)
- Ben Van Mooy (WHOI)
- Colleen Hansel (WHOI)
- Rebecca Gast (WHOI)
- Jamie Collins (UW)
- The Bowman Lab at SIO
 - Jesse Wilson
 - Srishti Dasarathy
 - Natalia Erazo
 - Emelia Chamberlain
 - Sarah Webb
 - Melissa Hopkins
 - Sabeel Mansuri



NSF