Factors affecting B/Ca ratios in synthetic aragonite
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

Measurements of B/Ca ratios in marine carbonates have been suggested to record seawater carbonate chemistry, however experimental calibration of such proxies based on inorganic partitioning remains limited. Here we conduct a series of synthetic aragonite precipitation experiments to evaluate the factors influencing the partitioning of B/Ca between aragonite and seawater. Our results indicate that the B/Ca ratio of synthetic aragonites depends primarily on the relative concentrations of borate and carbonate ions in the solution from which the aragonite precipitates; not on bicarbonate concentration as has been previously suggested. The influence of temperature was not significant over the range investigated (20–40 °C), however, partitioning may be influenced by saturation state (and/or growth rate). Based on our experimental results, we suggest that aragonite B/Ca ratios can be utilized as a proxy of \([\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\). Boron isotopic composition (\(\delta^{11}\text{B}\)) is an established pH proxy, thus B/Ca and \(\delta^{11}\text{B}\) together allow the full carbonate chemistry of the solution from which the aragonite precipitated to be calculated. To the extent that aragonite precipitation by marine organisms is affected by seawater chemistry, B/Ca may also prove useful in reconstructing seawater chemistry. A simplified boron purification protocol based on amberlite resin and the organic buffer TRIS is also described.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Boron concentrations and boron isotopic compositions in marine carbonates are potential archives of past seawater pH and carbonate chemistry (e.g. Sanayi et al., 1996; Pelejero et al., 2005; Pearson and Palmer, 1999; Douville et al., 2010; Rae et al., 2011; Henehan et al., 2013; Penman et al., 2013). In seawater, boron is typically present in two forms, boric acid (\(\text{B(OH)}_3\)) and borate (\(\text{B(OH)}_4^-\)), the relative abundances of which depend largely on pH (e.g. Culberson and Pytkowicz, 1968; Dickson, 1990; Klochko et al., 2006). The \(\text{B(OH)}_4^-\) ion is thought to be the primary form of boron incorporated into calcium carbonate (e.g. Sen et al., 1994; Hemming et al., 1995), thus offering the potential to use B/Ca ratios to estimate pH and/or carbonate chemistry (e.g. Yu et al., 2007). However, there is uncertainty as to how boron incorporation may depend upon concentrations of different dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) species (e.g. Hemming et al., 1995; Uchikawa et al., 2015). In addition, there are suggestions that \(\text{B(OH)}_3\) may also be incorporated, especially in calcite (e.g. Xiao et al., 2008; Klochko et al., 2009; Rollion-Bard et al., 2011; Mavromatis et al., 2015; Uchikawa et al., 2015), thus potentially complicating the interpretation of B/Ca ratios.

Various relationships have been used to explore the range of possible factors controlling B incorporation in both synthetic (e.g. Mavromatis et al., 2015; Uchikawa et al., 2015) and biogenic carbonates (e.g. Ni et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2007; Yu and Elderfield, 2007; Foster, 2008; Douville et al., 2010; Allen et al., 2011; Rae et al., 2011; Tripati et al., 2011; Allen et al., 2012; Allison et al., 2014; Babila et al., 2014; Henehan et al., 2015). Here we consider several of the relationships proposed by previous studies: \(\text{B(OH)}_4^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}\), \(\text{B(OH)}_4^-/\text{HCO}_3^-\), \(\text{B(OH)}_4^-/(\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{HCO}_3^-)\), \(\text{B}/(\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{HCO}_3^-)\), \(\text{B}/(\text{CO}_3^{2-})\), \(\text{B}/(\text{CO}_3^{2-} + \text{HCO}_3^-)\), \(\text{B}/(\text{CO}_3^{2-})\). We find that the ratio \(\Delta\text{CO}_3^\text{aq}\) is the difference between the actual \([\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\) and the \([\text{CO}_3^{2-}]\) at which the solution would be saturated with respect to aragonite, \(\Omega_{\text{arag}} = 1\). In addition to various empirical relationships, we also consider potential balanced exchange reactions with the following expressions for the distribution coefficient:

\text{Reaction (1)} (Hemming and Hanson, 1992):

\[ \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{B(OH)}_4^- \rightarrow \text{Ca(HBO}_3^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \]

\[ K_0 = \frac{[\text{HBO}_3^-/\text{CO}_3^{2-}]}{[\text{B(OH)}_4^-/\text{HCO}_3^-]}_{\text{solution}} \]

(1)

\text{Reaction (2)}:
0.5 CaCO₃ + B(OH)₄⁻ → Ca₂B(OH)₄ + 0.5 CO₃²⁻

\[ K_0 = \frac{[B(OH)_4^-]/[CO_3^{2-}]}{[B/(OH)_4^-]/[CO_3^{2-}]} \]  

Reaction (3):

3 CaCO₃ + 2 B(OH)₄⁻ → Ca₃(BO₃)₂ + CO₂ + 2 HCO₃⁻ + 3 H₂O

\[ K_0 = \frac{[BO_3^{3-}]/[CO_3^{2-}]/[HCO_3^-]^2}{[B/(OH)_4^-]/[CO_3^{2-}]} \]  

Studies of naturally formed samples do provide some insights into the potential controls on boron incorporation (Hemming and Hanson, 1992; Sanyal et al., 1996; Wara et al., 2003; Ni et al., 2007; Yu et al., 2007; Yu and Elderfield, 2007; Foster, 2008; Rollion-Bard et al., 2011; Allison et al., 2014; Kaczmarek et al., 2015). However, such studies cannot establish how B/Ca is controlled by environmental variables due to inevitable uncertainty as to the conditions during carbonate deposition. This is particularly the case for calcifying organisms that modify the conditions at the site of calcification substantially from the conditions present in the surrounding seawater (e.g. Al-Horani et al., 2003; McCulloch et al., 2012; De Nooijer et al., 2014). Since the chemistry at the site of calcification is generally unknown, most studies have focused on the relationship between B/Ca and seawater chemistry. Thus in studies of biologically formed calcium carbonate, there is uncertainty as to whether B/Ca changes in direct response to environmental conditions, or if it reflects physiological changes in the organism.

Laboratory studies on the incorporation of B into calcium carbonate remain limited, and few potentially controlling factors (e.g. temperature, carbonate chemistry, and growth rate) have been tested (Kitano et al., 1978; Sen et al., 1994; Hemming et al., 1995; Hobbs and Reardon, 1999; Sanyal et al., 2000; Xiao et al., 2008; He et al., 2013; Gabitov et al., 2014; Movrmatis et al., 2015; Uchikawa et al., 2015). Critically only one study has characterized the carbonate chemistry during aragonite precipitation (Movrmatis et al., 2015).

We conducted a series of experiments to explore how carbonate chemistry, organic additives, temperature, and boron concentration may influence B/Ca ratios in aragonite formed from seawater-like solutions. Manipulation of pH, DIC, and Ca²⁺ are among the mechanisms potentially driving biogenic calcification, thus our experiments focused on manipulating these variables. In addition to these inorganic variables, there are also a wide range of organic molecules produced by calcifying organisms which may influence calcification (e.g. Mass et al., 2013). We chose to test one specific mechanism by which organic molecules could influence B/Ca, that of buffering pH. In seawater, the two dominant pH buffers are DIC and B species, thus variations in pH (such as might occur adjacent to a growing aragonite crystal) would directly affect DIC and B speciation. By adding an additional buffering agent (such as 2-amino-2-hydroxymethyl-propane-1,3-diol (TRIS) or 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES)), pH could in theory be more stable adjacent to the growing crystal which could alter the relationship between B/Ca and bulk solution chemistry. In addition to biological processes affecting elemental ratios, there is also a potential for some of the compounds used to study crystal growth to influence elemental incorporation. Calcite is among the molecules commonly used to mark growing crystals in living organisms (e.g. Venn et al., 2013), and the influence of calcine on the incorporation of a number of elements has been tested (Dissard et al., 2009), though no information is thus-far available as the effect of calcine on B/Ca, thus it was tested here.

2. Methods

2.1. Aragonite precipitation

Aragonite was precipitated from seawater (0.2 μm filtered to remove living organisms) using several different approaches adapted from existing methods (Kinsman and Holland, 1969; Kitano et al., 1978; Gaetani and Cohen, 2006; Holcomb et al., 2009; Gabitov et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013). The range of experimental protocols used was intended to precipitate aragonite under a wide range of solution chemistries in order to encompass the likely compositional range of biologically mediated solutions and thus more fully evaluate the factors that affect boron incorporation during bio-calcification. Detailed descriptions of the protocols used for each of the 65 experiments are provided in the Supplementary materials (see section S1 and Table S1 for more details). Briefly, all experiments were carried out in plastic containers held within constant temperature water baths. Two general types of experiments were conducted: degassing (Fig. 1B) and pumping (Fig. 1A) experiments. Degassing experiments were carried out by dissolving CaCO₃ in seawater at ~1 atm pCO₂ with the addition of MgCl₂, SrCl₂ (to maintain seawater like Mg/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios) and various additives (TRIS, HEPES, calcine, boron, CaCl₂, etc. depending upon the experiment). As CO₂ degassed in these experiments, Bstrand increased until aragonite was precipitated. Degassing experiments were bubbled at controlled rates with air or air/CO₂ mixes during precipitation to stabilize pH. Pumping experiments were conducted by adding seawater containing CaCO₃ (dissolved by bubbling with CO₂) or concentrated seawater (evaporated to achieve 2× normal salinity = 2×sw) and a NaHCO₃ or Na₂CO₃ or NaOH or mixture there-of solution (here after referred to as NaX solution) to seawater using a syringe pump. The simultaneous injection of 2×sw and NaX solutions allowed carbonate chemistry to be modified while maintaining salinity constant. Pumping rates were varied over the course of each experiment to stabilize pH during precipitation. Some of these experiments were additionally bubbled with air or air/CO₂ mixtures, and some contained additional additives. All experiments were stirred continuously.

The evolution of solution chemistry during precipitation differed among experiments. In general, in pumping experiments an initial pH and alkalinity was established, precipitation then removed CO₃²⁻ thus reducing DIC (or equivalently total inorganic carbon) and alkalinity, while pumping of the NaX solution added DIC and alkalinity, thus allowing carbonate chemistry to be maintained nearly constant during precipitation. In degassing experiments, though pH was maintained nearly constant, DIC, and alkalinity both declined during precipitation due to the precipitate removing DIC and alkalinity and bubbling removing DIC. To illustrate the relationships between different solution chemistry parameters potentially relevant for B/Ca, Fig. 2 shows various chemical parameters plotted versus pH₂ for our experiments, as well as the values expected for seawater at different DIC concentrations.

Over the course of each experiment, samples were taken for pH, alkalinity, and solution chemistry measurements. Samples for alkalinity and solution chemistry were filtered (Millex-HV syringe filter, 0.45 μm PVDF membrane) at the time of collection to remove any aragonite particles potentially present. All seawater samples used for elemental composition measurements were acidified with concentrated HNO₃ to dissolve any material that precipitated post-collection. Details of all measurements, associated calculations, and measured values are provided in the supplementary materials (Sections S2, S3, and .xls file).

2.2. Precipitate characterization

2.2.1. Mineralogy

The mineralogy of most experiments was characterized by XRD (see Supplemental materials section S4) and/or Raman spectroscopy (DeCarlo et al., 2015; and Supplemental materials S4). Some experiments contained phases other than aragonite and were generally
Ca = 0.4596 mmol/mol, Hathorne et al. (2013) was used to standardize all measurements, and the validity of this approach for measuring B/Ca was verified using independent measurements of purified boron extracts measured via MC-ICPMS (Supplemental materials S3.1). Data on the incorporation of Sr/Ca and U/Ca into these precipitates is published elsewhere (DeCarlo et al., 2015).

2.3. Solution characterization

Since natural seawater was used for all experiments, and Ca and B are generally considered uniformly distributed throughout the oceans in proportion to salinity, concentrations were calculated based on salinity and the mass of any added B- or Ca-containing solutions. For a subset of experiments the validity of this assumption was verified via ICP-MS measurements. Measurements are described in the supplemental materials including the description of new simplifications for the purification of boron based on amberlite IRA 743 resin and the organic buffer TRIS (Supplemental material S3.3).

2.4. Calculations

Carbonate chemistry was calculated using the measured alkalinity, pH, and temperature. When pH measurements were carried out at a temperature different from that of the experimental temperature, the pH at the experimental temperature was calculated using CO2Sys (van Heuven et al., 2009). Salinities were interpolated based on initial and final salinities and estimated evaporation rates. Calcium and boron concentrations in the fluid were calculated based on salinity, estimated amount of precipitate deposited, and any calcium or boron added to the experiment. These experiments were undertaken in conjunction with those reported by DeCarlo et al. (2015), further details are given in the Supplemental materials (S2), as are alternative calculations using constants from Hain et al. (2015) (S6).

Since aragonite is primarily CaCO₃, and thus Ca ≈ CO₃, B/Ca can be substituted for B/CO₃ when calculating distribution coefficients, so though Eqs. (1)–(3) are expressed relative to CO₃ in the solid, B/Ca will be used for calculations.

2.5. Average solution chemistry and partitioning

We evaluated the factors controlling B incorporation into aragonite by comparing the bulk/mean aragonite B/Ca ratio to the average solution composition during precipitation of each of 58 experiments conducted in 2013 (of 65 total experiments). The solution chemistry and bulk precipitation rates varied over the course of each experiment. To estimate the average solution chemistry during the time that aragonite precipitated, chemistry parameters were weighted by the amount of precipitate formed over the given time interval (estimated from the difference between measured and expected alkalinity). The distribution coefficient relationship that best described the data was then used to calculate distribution coefficients for each individual experiment such that the calculated B/Ca ratio for the final precipitate in each experiment matched the measured ratio. Data from experiments run in 2011 (denoted by a ’11’ in the experiment name in the supplemental tables) were not included in these comparisons as carbonate chemistry was generally less stable: experiments from 2011 were used only for assessing the effects of calcine.

3. Results

Aragonite was precipitated over a wide range of pHₗ (7.3 to 9.3, where pHₗ is pH measured on the total scale), temperature (~20 °C to 40 °C), and carbonate chemistry conditions (Fig. 2). Within any given experiment, the precipitate formed under a range of solution chemistry conditions, but the range within an experiment was generally small relative to the differences among experiments (Fig. 2). For example, the within-experiment pH variation was typically <0.1 pH units, whereas among experiments pH differences of >2 pH units were achieved. Likewise, within the course of an experiment, the relative standard deviation (RSD) of [B(OH)₄]⁻/[CO₃]²⁻ was <15% (typically ~5%), while different experiments differed by more than an order of magnitude (Fig. 2H, Table S2).

The B/Ca ratio in the precipitate showed no significant (p > 0.05) correlation with pHₗ or any single carbonate chemistry parameter. Significant (p < 0.001) correlations were found between B/Ca and the mean of experiments the validity of this assumption was verified elsewhere (DeCarlo et al., 2015).

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of the experimental setup used for pumping (A) and degassing (B) experiments.
solution [B] and [B(OH)₄⁻] (Table 1). However, when considering only precipitates formed under seawater boron concentrations, B/Ca does correlate significantly with a number of carbonate system parameters, most notably pH and parameters closely correlated with pH (e.g. DIC species and [B(OH)₄⁻]; Fig. 3, Table 1), with [B(OH)₄⁻] remaining the single parameter most strongly correlated with B/Ca.

Since boron is thought to compete with DIC species for incorporation into aragonite (e.g. Hemming and Hanson, 1992), correlations between precipitate B/Ca and various solution boron/DIC relationships were explored (Table 1). In the full data-set, B/Ca and mean [B(OH)₄⁻]/[HCO₃⁻] were not strongly correlated (Fig. 3b, based on Eq. (1)), nor were the residuals of a [B(OH)₄⁻] vs B/Ca regression and [HCO₃⁻]. Nor was there a significant correlation between B/Ca and average [B(OH)₄⁻][Ca²⁺] (Table 1). The correlations of B/Ca with [B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]⁰.⁵ and [B]/[DIC] or [B]/([CO₃²⁻] + [HCO₃⁻]) (Table 1, Fig. 3). The correlations of B/Ca with [B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]⁰.⁵ and [B] / [DIC] or [B] / ([CO₃²⁻] + [HCO₃⁻]) were important regardless of whether B was added or whether only pumping or degassing experiments were considered. Conversely [B(OH)₄⁻] + [Ca²⁺]⁻¹, though significantly correlated with B/Ca, did not necessarily correlate more strongly than [B(OH)₄⁻] alone (Table 1). When only a subset of the experiments is considered, some
of the other potential relationships become significant (Table 1). For instance, [B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻] is highly correlated with B/Ca, especially for pumping experiments, as is [B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]⁰.⁵, yet these two ratios show opposite behaviors in seawater as a function of pH (Fig. 2G,H). Given the range of DIC (2.8–29 mmol/kg sw) and [B] (0.39–2.1 mmol/kg sw) among experiments (Table S2), DIC and [B] would be expected to have a greater influence on [B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻] and [B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]⁰.⁵ than pH, thus a positive correlation is expected. Similarly mean [B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]⁰.⁵ and [B]/[DIC] were highly correlated (Pearson correlation coefficient: 0.954) as would be expected given the presence of HEPES tended to have B/Ca ratios higher than predicted, while those formed in the presence of TRIS tended to be lower). Differences between measured and predicted (for both (6) and (7)) B/Ca ratios were typically <0.03 mmol/mol or 8% relative difference.

### 4. Discussion

How boron is incorporated into aragonite remains uncertain. Many relationships (e.g. Eqs. (1)–(3)) have been proposed to explain how B/Ca depends on solution chemistry. The wide range of solution chemistries achieved in our experiments allows us to evaluate many of the proposed relationships.

In contrast to previous work (Hemming and Hanson, 1992), we found no significant relationship between the B/Ca ratio in aragonite and the [B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻] ratio in solution (Fig. 3F). Rather, our results indicate that B/Ca depends on [B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]⁰.⁵ and [B]/[DIC], the relationship based on carbonate and boronate was chosen for further investigation as CO₃²⁻ was considered to be more relevant for precipitation than total DIC (see Supplemental section S6 for calculations based on DIC as well as Ca²⁺).

Within any given experiment, the precipitate formed under a range of solution chemistry conditions. However, the range within an experiment was generally small relative to the differences among experiments. Thus Kₒ was assumed to be constant for a given experiment allowing Kₒ values to be calculated for each individual experiment i:

\[
B/Ca = \frac{B_i}{(CO_3^2^-)^{0.5}} = K_0 \times \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{[B(OH)_4^-]_{i,j} \times w_{i,j}}{[CO_3^2^-]_{i,j}^{0.5}}
\]

where B/Ca is measured and is in mmol/mol, [B(OH)₄⁻] and [CO₃²⁻] are in units of mmol kg sw⁻¹, w is the fraction of total aragonite formed in time interval j as estimated from the difference expected and measured alkalinity, i signifies an individual experiment, and j is one of n measurements taken during the course of aragonite precipitation in experiment i. Aragonite is assumed to be pure CaCO₃, thus Ca = CO₃²⁻ with activities = 1, so the exponent can be dropped for the solid phase. Calculated Kₒ values ranged from 0.042–0.103, with an average of 0.071 ± 0.011 sd. Kₒ values were correlated with a number of solution chemistry parameters (Fig. S4), but regressions with [B] and either [CO₃²⁻] or saturation state with respect to aragonite (Ω) explained much of the variance:

\[
K_0 = -0.0109 (±0.00157) [B] - 0.001106 (±0.00021)Ω + 0.0094 (±0.00032)
\]

\[
K_0 = -0.01215 (±0.00159) [B] - 0.0000119 (±0.000002) [CO_3^2^-] + 0.0009474 (±0.000332)
\]

where [B] is in units of mmol kg sw⁻¹, and [CO₃²⁻] is in units of mmol kg sw⁻¹, with R² = 0.49, p = 0.001 and R² = 0.53, p < 0.001 for Eqs (6) and (7) respectively. Note that Ω and [CO₃²⁻] were highly correlated (Pearson correlation coefficient: 0.94).

Using Eqs. (6)–(7), the B/Ca ratio for each precipitate was predicted based on each measurement time-point. The differences between the measured and predicted B/Ca ratios showed no significant correlation with any solution chemistry parameter (see Supplemental .xls file), nor was there any significant effect of temperature, calcein, nor of organic buffering compounds (though precipitates formed in the presence of HEPES tended to have B/Ca ratios higher than predicted, while those formed in the presence of TRIS tended to be lower). Differences between measured and predicted for both (6) and (7)) B/Ca ratios were typically <0.03 mmol/mol or 8% relative difference.

### Table 1

Pearson correlation coefficients for mean solution chemistry parameters showing the most significant correlations with the B/Ca ratio of the precipitate, as well as various proposed ratios suggested to be linked to B/Ca regardless of significance. Correlation coefficients are given for the data set as a whole (n = 58, excluding experiments run in 2011), for experiments without added B (n = 39), and for pumping experiments without added B (n = 28). Significant (p < 0.05) individual correlations are indicated by *.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species in solution</th>
<th>Correlation with precipitate B/Ca</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All experiments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH₅</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[HCO₃⁻]</td>
<td>-0.16 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC</td>
<td>-0.18 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[HCO₃⁻]</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B]/[Ca]</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B]/[Ca]</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B(OH)₄⁻]/[ΔCO₂]</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B(OH)₄⁻]/[Ca(iii)]</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B]/[HCO₃⁻]</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B(OH)₄⁻]/[CO₃²⁻]</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B(OH)₄⁻]/[DIC]</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B]/[DIC]</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B]/[DIC]</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[B]/[DIC]</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
B/Ca (mmol/mol) = 0.0604 (±0.0022) [B(OH)_4^-]/[CO_3^{2-}]^{0.5} + 0.0411 (±0.0012)
\]

\[
B/Ca (mmol/mol) = 2.88 (±0.13) [B]/[DIC] + 0.085 (±0.014)
\]
reflecting the dependence of $[\text{B(OH)}_4^-]/[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]^{0.5}$ on $[\text{B}]$ and [DIC] combined with the pH dependencies of both $\text{B}$ and DIC species; thus we cannot rule out the possibility of $\text{B}/\text{Ca}$ being controlled by $[\text{B}]/[\text{DIC}]$. For calcite, existing work points to $[\text{B}]/[\text{DIC}]$ and growth rate being the primary controls on $\text{B}/\text{Ca}$ (Uchikawa et al., 2015). However, recalculating the data of Uchikawa et al. (2015) as $\text{B}/\text{Ca} / [\text{B(OH)}_4^-]/[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]^{0.5}$ versus growth rate gives a very similar fit to that obtained with $[\text{B}]/[\text{DIC}]$ ($R^2 = 0.86$ vs 0.88), again reflecting the difficulty of experimentally decoupling these parameters without simultaneously changing other chemical parameters.

4.1. Partition coefficient $K_D$

Using our experimental data, we calculated partition coefficients ($K_D$) for $[\text{B(OH)}_4^-]/[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]^{0.5}$ between aragonite and solution. This allows us to identify which factors influence $\text{B}/\text{Ca}$ ratios in addition to the primary control of the solution $[\text{B(OH)}_4^-]/[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]^{0.5}$ ratio. The $K_D$ appears to depend upon the boron concentration and the saturation state or $[\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$ (Eqs. (6), (7), Fig. S4). A dependence upon boron concentration is consistent with previous work (Hemming et al., 1995), though our experiments likely did not cover a large enough range of $[\text{B}]$ to fully describe this dependence (discussed below). In addition, we found a relationship between $K_D$ and saturation state, which may reflect the influence of growth rate because aragonite crystals precipitate faster from solutions of greater supersaturation (e.g. Burton and Walter, 1987). Other studies have also suggested a growth rate influence on boron incorporation in calcite (Hobbs and Reardon, 1999; Gabitov et al., 2014; Uchikawa et al., 2015) and aragonite (Mavromatis et al., 2015). However, in contrast to the positive relationships between $\text{B}/\text{Ca}$ and precipitation rate observed previously, we found a negative,
albeit weak, correlation between B/Ca and precipitation rate (see Supplemental xlsx file for rates) or saturation state.

Several possibilities exist to explain the different signs of the effect of precipitation rate on B/Ca between our experiments and previous studies. For studies on calcite, such differences may reflect fundamental differences in how B is incorporated in calcite versus aragonite (e.g., Kitano et al., 1978; Mavromatis et al., 2015), but such an explanation cannot account for differences between our results and those of Mavromatis et al. (2015) for aragonite. However, for experiments conducted at similar [B] to ours, B/Ca data of Mavromatis et al. (2015) correlated with [B(OH)₄]⁻⁰.₅⁵ (calculated from Table 1 of Mavromatis et al. (2015)) and when expressed as B/Ca/[B(OH)₄]⁻¹/[CO₃]⁺, their KD values were consistently lower than those reported here (Table 2). The experiments of Mavromatis et al. (2015) were conducted at lower saturation states than those used in our study; thus such differences may reflect an effect of growth rate on B/Ca which is particularly pronounced at low supersaturation states. It should also be noted that the experiments of Mavromatis et al. (2015) were not conducted using seawater, so the ionic composition of the fluid may influence partitioning (e.g., Kitano et al., 1978).

Alternatively, the expression used for the partition coefficient may play some role in the observed correlations between B/Ca and precipitation rate. Since KD could be fit almost equally well using saturation state (Eq. (6)) or [CO₃]⁺ (Eq. (7)), any dependence of KD on Ω may reflect the dependency of Ω on [CO₃]⁺. Thus the dependence of KD on [CO₃]⁺ may not reflect a growth rate effect associated with Ω, instead it could indicate that Eq. (2) does not fully describe the reaction exchange and that the relationship between B/OH⁻ and [CO₃]⁺ deviates from the 1:0.5 ratio used. Although a few of our experiments were conducted at different [CO₃]⁺ but similar Ω, and vice-versa, and thus could potentially be used to determine whether [CO₃]⁺ or Ω drives partitioning, no clear pattern was observed.

Any influence of temperature or various additives was small relative to other sources of variability as no significant effects were detected, which contrasts with some studies of natural samples as well as one study of synthetic aragonite which point to a temperature effect (Sinclair et al., 1998; Wara et al., 2003; Yu et al., 2007; Mavromatis et al., 2015). It should be noted that because temperature influences saturation state, B and DIC speciation, such effects, if not corrected for, could give rise to an apparent temperature dependency for B/Ca. In the case of Mavromatis et al. (2015), the KD expression used was based on [B] and not [B(OH)₄], thus changes in boron speciation with temperature could account for the temperature influence; recalculating their data per Eq. (2) however shows no evidence for a temperature effect on KD based on the same data points.

4.2. Prior KD estimates

Although the partitioning of B into aragonite has previously been investigated (Kitano et al., 1978; Hemming et al., 1995; Mavromatis et al., 2015), only the study of Mavromatis et al. (2015) characterized carbonate chemistry, thus making it difficult to compare our results with much of the prior work. The experiments of Kitano et al. (1978) were similar to our degassing experiments, but carbonate chemistry was not reported during precipitation; given the range of carbonate chemistry values which can potentially be generated during such an experiment we cannot satisfactorily estimate carbonate chemistry for these experiments. Hemming and Hanson (1992) estimated KD = 0.012 via Eq. (1) (or 12 to be consistent with expressing B/Ca as mmol/mol as used elsewhere in our paper) for biogenic calcium carbonate samples, which is well within the range estimated in our study (Table 2). For the experiments of Hemming et al. (1995) detailed carbonate chemistry measurements are lacking, however using their measured pH and calculated [Ca²⁺] and our observation that precipitation typically starts near Ω = 20, we can make rough estimates of the relevant parameters: [HCO₃] = 1000 μmol/kg, [CO₃]⁺ = 100 μmol/kg, and, depending upon the experiment, [B(OH)₄]⁻ = 0.12, 0.107, or 0.78 mmol/kg. Using these values, we can estimate KD via Eqs (1) and (2) (Table 2). Despite experimental differences, the KD estimates based on Hemming et al. (1995) fall within the range of values found in the current study. Further, if we use Eq. (6) to estimate KD using the above constraints, we would predict a KD range of 0.08 to 0.04, and with Eq. (7): 0.09 to 0.05. At the boron concentration closest to seawater used by Hemming et al. (1995), the difference between KD predicted minus that calculated is −0.001 for Eq. (6), and 0.01 for Eq. (7). The range of boron concentrations used by Hemming et al. (1995) includes concentrations well below (~13% of seawater values) those used in the current study, and at [B] well below seawater values, both Eqs. (6) and (7) underestimate KD. The study of Mavromatis et al. (2015) included boron concentrations ranging from near seawater values to ~20 x seawater values. At high [B], both Eqs. (6) and (7) predict negative KD values for the Mavromatis et al. (2015) data, indicating that neither equation can be reliably extrapolated far beyond the concentration range used for fitting the equations. Within the range for which [B] was similar, Eqs. (6) and (7) both predicted KD values higher (up to a factor of 10 x) than those calculated from Mavromatis et al. (2015). The highest KD (5.8) used by Mavromatis et al. (2015) was below the lowest values used in the current study, so a growth rate or saturation state influence on KD could be involved. Our experiment 1c, which precipitated at a lower Ω than any of our other experiments had the lowest KD, consistent with a positive effect of growth rate at low Ω values.

The agreement between KD estimates both across the diverse conditions used in the current study and for independent studies, suggests that Eqs. (6) and (7) provide reasonable estimates of KD over a wide range of conditions, except at [B] ≪ seawater, [B] ≫ seawater, and Ω < 9.

4.3. Nernst partition coefficient

An alternative means of expressing partitioning is to use the single element or Nernst partition coefficient (DB), which is expressed as the ratio of the mass percent B in the solid relative to the mass percent in solution (e.g. Gaetani and Cohen, 2006). The use of Nernst partition coefficients allows different studies to be compared as prior studies have generally provided the necessary data. Values for Nernst partition coefficients are dependent upon the specific experimental conditions (temperature, pH, competing species, etc.) (e.g. McIntire, 1963), as they do not necessarily take into account speciation changes or other factors influencing incorporation, thus comparisons should be interpreted with this in mind. Values for DB were calculated for each experiment as described for KD calculations, and are summarized in Table 3 along with those of other studies. DB values from the current study were strongly correlated with pH (Pearson correlation coefficient: 0.888), as well as with a wide range of other solution chemistry parameters (Fig. 4), likely reflecting changes in boron and carbon speciation with pH. The following equations described much of the variance in DB values:

\[
DB = 8.215 \pm 0.40 \: pH - 0.297 \pm 0.035 \: Ω - 55.69 \pm 2.81
\]
and saturation state were all highly significant with growth rate and with salinity; no significant effects of organic buffers were detected. Relative differences between measured and predicted values were generally less than 16%.

Our estimates of $D_B$ agree well with existing values – our experimental range covers the range estimated for natural aragonite (Table 3). Our highest values overlap the lower estimates of Hemming et al. (1995); for the two highest [B] used by Hemming et al., their values are only slightly higher than some of our estimates at similar [B]. Estimates of $D_B$ from Kitano et al. (1978) and Mavromatis et al. (2015), though below those of Hemming et al. (1995), also overlap our values, with their estimates for their lowest [B] being similar to our values at similar [B]. Although both the $D_B$ values of Kitano et al. (1978) and Hemming et al. (1995) overlap ours, the values in the two studies do not overlap (Table 3). This lack of agreement between these two previous studies likely reflects differences in the carbonate chemistry during aragonite precipitation. In the experiments performed by Hemming et al. (1995), CO₂ diffusion into the solution controlled precipitation, thus aragonite was formed under low [DIC] and low [CO₃²⁻] conditions, with [CO₃²⁻] likely lower than any of our experiments or those of Kitano et al. (1978). Such low [CO₃²⁻] conditions would in-turn lead to an increase in the [B(OH)₄⁻] / [CO₃²⁻]⁰.⁵ ratio relative to other studies, thus a higher percentage of solution B would be expected to be incorporated into the aragonite, consistent with high $D_B$ estimates (Table 3). In contrast, Kitano et al. (1978) used CO₂ degassing experiments, with much lower [Ca²⁺] and higher [DIC]. Although insufficient detail is given to estimate [CO₃²⁻] for these experiments, concentrations were likely much higher than those of Hemming et al. (1995), potentially similar to ours, consistent with similar $D_B$ estimates. However, Kitano et al. (1978) also used boron concentrations much higher than those used in the current study, and high [B] resulted in lower $D_B$ values.

The pattern of lower $D_B$ or $K_D$ values at higher [B] is consistent across studies.
Such observations have previously been suggested to be due to saturating defect sites at which incorporation is more favorable at relatively low concentrations leaving only structural sites for further substitution (Hemming et al., 1995). Changes in boron speciation may also occur at high concentrations (e.g. Williams and Strack, 1966) which could further affect partitioning. It should also be noted that boron can influence the growth of crystals along different axes (Ruiz-Agudo et al., 2012) and solution chemistry influences surface charging which may further influence B incorporation (Hobbs and Reardon, 1999). Although our data provide insight into the controls on B incorporation into aragonite in terms of bulk solution influences, there remains considerable research to be done to understand the detailed mechanisms of B incorporation, particularly with regards to the reactions and molecular rearrangements occurring near the crystal surface, which ultimately control B incorporation and B/Ca ratios.

4.4. Environmental proxies

Although our results show patterns consistent with some studies of biologically formed calcium carbonates e.g. [B(OH)$_4$]$^-$/[CO$_3^{2-}$] was strongly correlated with B/Ca (Table 1; Yu and Elderfield, 2007), such results cannot be directly compared. Here we directly measure the chemistry during precipitation and thus correlate B/Ca in aragonite to the chemistry in the solution from which that aragonite formed, whereas studies of material in the natural environment usually measure seawater chemistry, not the chemistry of the solution from which precipitation occurs, and thus make an assumption about the link between seawater chemistry and the chemistry of the fluid responsible for precipitation. Biologically formed aragonite is often formed from a solution substantially modified from seawater, for instance, in corals aragonite growth occurs from a solution in which pH and [CO$_3^{2-}$] are elevated relative to the surrounding seawater (e.g. Al-Horani et al., 2003; McCulloch et al., 2012; DeCarlo et al., 2015; Cai et al., 2016). Similarities in relationships between B/Ca and seawater chemistry reported for biological samples and relationships between B/Ca and directly measured solution chemistry in synthetic precipitates suggests that variations in seawater chemistry affect the internal calcification environment of calcifying organisms. With the relationships described here for synthetic aragonite, B/Ca holds potential as a proxy to determine how the chemistry of the internal calcification environment of biomineralizing organisms is linked to the external environment. With this framework, B/Ca ratios of the shells and skeletons of calcifying organisms can improve our understanding of how environmental conditions are recorded in biogenic aragonite.

5. Summary

The B/Ca ratio in aragonite appears to be primarily a function of [B(OH)$_4$]$^-$/[CO$_3^{2-}$] in the solution from which aragonite grows, with possible influences of [B] and saturation state [CO$_3^{2-}$]. For aragonites formed from seawater-like solutions, [B] can be estimated from salinity, and since pH can be estimated from boron isotopes (e.g. Trotter et al., 2011), [B(OH)$_4$]$^-$ can be calculated (assuming T is known), thus the remaining variables influencing B/Ca are [CO$_3^{2-}$] and potentially Ca$^{2+}$/B. B/Ca can be used to estimate [CO$_3^{2-}$], thus allowing the full carbonate chemistry under which the aragonite formed to be estimated. If it can be shown that the solution chemistry from which aragonite precipitates in a particular organism varies in a predictable way with seawater chemistry, it may further be possible to use B/Ca in biogenic carbonates to infer past seawater conditions.
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