### Scavenging Systematics and Surprises in GEOTRACES Data

Synthesis workshop - Biogeochemical Cycling of Trace Elements within the Ocean 1-4 August 2016

**Bob Anderson** 

#### Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory Columbia University | Earth Institute

With contributions from many generators of GEOTRACES data





http://www.geotraces.org/

# Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th and <sup>230</sup>Th trace scavenging and removal processes



## Reversible scavenging causes <sup>230</sup>Th concentration to increase with depth



# Linear <sup>230</sup>Th concentration profiles in a simple 1-D ocean model



Bacon and Anderson, 1982 Based on Krishnaswami et al., 1976

$$\frac{dC_d}{dZ} = \frac{P}{SK}$$
$$\frac{dC_p}{dZ} = \frac{P}{S}$$

P = 0.5 fg kg<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> S ~ 1000 m yr<sup>-1</sup> K ~ 0.2

P is production due to U decayS is sinking rate of particlesK is solid-solution distribution coefficient

K varies with abundance and reactivity of particles

#### Simple 1-D scavenging model fits <sup>230</sup>Th data at many Pacific stations



Aloha data from Roy-Barman et al., 1996

What can we infer from concentration profiles of other trace elements?

# What can we infer from concentration profiles of other trace elements?



#### Linear concentration profiles of LREE

Variously described as indicating:

Irreversible scavenging – Hathorne et al., 2015

Reversible scavenging – Lambelet et al, 2016

Fig. S1, Hathorne et al., Mar. Chem. 2015

# Reversible scavenging causes <sup>232</sup>Th concentration to be invariant with depth



# Reversible scavenging causes <sup>232</sup>Th concentration to be invariant with depth



#### US GEOTRACES Atlantic Results – GA03





## Dissolved Th profiles illustrate reversible scavenging for different source distributions

Dissolved <sup>230</sup>Th ( $\mu$ Bq/kg)

Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th (pmol/kg)



<sup>230</sup>Th: Radiogenic source throughout the water column, physical transport & scavenging

<sup>232</sup>Th: Lithogenic source at sea surface, physical transport & scavenging

# So - What can we infer from the linear concentration profiles of LREE?



Simplified view of reversible scavenging if Nd source is exclusively at the sea surface

dissolved

particulate

 $d[Nd] = \frac{p[Nd]}{\kappa \cdot Cp}$ 

Equilibrium partition coefficient

Particle concentration

Fig. S1, Hathorne et al., Mar. Chem. 2015

# So - What can we infer from the linear concentration profiles of LREE?



Fig. S1, Hathorne et al., Mar. Chem. 2015

Increasing [Nd] with depth indicates one of the following:

- 1) A source of dissolved [Nd] at depth
- 2) Particle affinity for scavenging Nd decreases with depth, or
- 3) Particle concentration decreases with depth (regeneration)

 $d[Nd] = \frac{p[Nd]}{K \bullet Cn}$ Equilibrium partition Particle coefficient concentration

#### GEOTRACES principle to apply in "Synthesis" (TEIs = trace elements & isotopes)

Studying multiple TEIs simultaneously provides information that is inaccessible by examining a single element in isolation.

Each element can be understood as a special case in a continuum of geochemical properties, where the similarities and contrasts among the elements offer insights into each individual element.

In many cases, the better constrained, or more simply defined, behavior of one element illuminates the behavior of another.

Modified from the GEOTRACES Science Plan, 2006

#### Dissolved Nd profiles indicate multiple processes affect E Trop N Atlantic distributions

Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg)



Stichel et al., 2015

Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th (pmol/kg)



Unpublished

#### Idealized E Atlantic dissolved Nd profile for illustrations

Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg)



Stichel et al., 2015

Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg)



Idealized representative [Nd] profile

#### Idealized dissolved Nd profiles indicate multiple processes affect E Trop N Atlantic distributions



#### Idealized dissolved Nd profiles indicate multiple processes affect E Trop N Atlantic distributions



Ohnemus and Lam, 2015 Anderson, first principles Zheng et al., 2016

### Deep Chlorophyll Maximum: DCM @ ~60 – 120 m

30

#### Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg) Stichel et al., 2015 Interpretation 20 25 10 15 Surface – Dust source 0 Deep Chl Max – Intense scavenging 500 OMZ – Reductive regeneration 1000 1500 Mid depth – Homogenization by rapid 2000 lateral mixing Depth (m) 2500 3000 Abyssal depth – Dissolution of particle 3500 surface coatings with adsorbed TEIs 4000 4500

5000

#### Non-biologically active <u>dissolved</u> metals have minima at the DCM (60 – 130 m)



#### Non-biologically active <u>particulate</u> metals also have minima at the deep chlorophyll maximum



Ohnemus and Lam, 2015

### Surface dFe is mainly colloidal but colloids disappear at the deep chlorophyll maximum

US GEOTRACES GA03 Eastern Atlantic



Fitzsimmons et al., 2015

## Surface dFe is mainly colloidal but colloids disappear at the deep chlorophyll maximum

Is colloidal Fe consumed biologically?

Rapidly removed by aggregation and sinking?

(A) (C) (B) Fe (nmol/kg) Fe (nmol/kg) Fe (nmol/kg) 0.4 0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.0 0.2 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.4 0.6 20 40 60 08 (m) 100 120 80 120 140 160 -dFe dFe dFe 180 ●-·sFe **●**-·sFe sFe 200 0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0 0.05 0.1 0.15 Fluorescence Fluorescence Fluorescence (D) (E) (F) Fe (nmol/kg) Fe (nmol/kg) Transmissometry (V) 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5 1.8 4.4 4.5 0.1 0.2 0.0 0.3 4.6 4.7 0.0 0.3 0 → dFe dFe 20 - O- · sFe - • sFe 40 60 80 Depth (m) 100 120 140 160 180 200 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.6 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0 0.4 0 Fluorescence Fluorescence Fluorescence

Fitzsimmons et al., 2015

A. Dammshäuser, P.L. Croot/Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta 96 (2012) 304-318



### [Al] and [Ti] minima at Chl max but <u>very</u> low colloid abundance

### c[AI] = d[AI] - s[AI]



## Surface dFe is mainly colloidal but colloids disappear at the deep chlorophyll maximum

Is colloidal Fe consumed biologically?

Rapidly removed by aggregation and sinking?

If minima in Al and Ti reflect the same process that leads to minima in Fe, Th and Nd then colloid cycling is not the answer.

(A) (B) (C) Fe (nmol/kg) Fe (nmol/kg) Fe (nmol/kg) 0.8 0.0 0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 0.0 0.4 0.6 20 40 60 (m) 100 120 80 120 140 160 -dFe dFe dFe 180 O-sFe **●**-·sFe sFe 200 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0 Fluorescence Fluorescence Fluorescence (D) (E) (F) Fe (nmol/kg) Fe (nmol/kg) Transmissometry (V) 0.6 0.9 1.2 1.5 1.8 4.4 4.5 0.2 0.0 0.3 4.6 4.7 0.0 0.1 0.3 0 → dFe -dFe 20 - O- · sFe - O- · sFe 40 60 80 08 (m) 100 120 120 140 160 180 200 0.2 0.2 0.6 0 0.2 0.6 0.1 0 0.4 0.4 0 Fluorescence Fluorescence Fluorescence

Fitzsimmons et al., 2015

### Radiogenic <sup>230</sup>Th and <sup>234</sup>Th do not exhibit minimum concentrations at the DCM



Unpublished

Hayes et al., 2015

Owens et al., 2015

A minimum dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th coincides with deep chl. maximum Why do <sup>230</sup>Th and <sup>234</sup>Th not show a similar minima?

#### Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th deficit in DCM is not simply transferred to particles

Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th (pmol/kg)

Particulate <sup>232</sup>Th (pmol/kg)



#### Deep chlorophyll maximum summary

Upper ocean processes affect transport and transformation of micronutrients

Processes may affect bioavailability

Processes affect distributions of bio-active and non-bioactive metals alike

Hypotheses to explain TEI behavior in DCM do not fit all TEIs.

BUT! Examining multiple TEIs allows us to eliminate some hypotheses.

#### Deep chl. maximum – Additional clues

Similar TEI minima are not seen at the DCM in the eastern tropical South Pacific

GEOTRACES GP16 – Peru to Tahiti – DCM at 60 to 100 m (D. Ohnemus, pers comm)

Use regional contrasts as well as multiple TEIs to test hypotheses.



#### Idealized dissolved Nd profile

#### Stichel et al., 2015 Interpretation

Surface – Dust source Deep Chl Max – Intense scavenging OMZ – Reductive regeneration

Mid depth – Homogenization by rapid lateral mixing

Abyssal depth – Dissolution of particle surface coatings with adsorbed TEIs

 $d = \frac{10}{15} 20 25 30$ 

Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg)

# Reductive mobilization is evident in pattern of REE enrichment in S Atlantic OMZ



Xinyuan Zheng et al., 2016

# Reductive mobilization is evident in pattern of REE enrichment in S Atlantic OMZ



LREE enrichment & positive Ce anomaly at 400 m (OMZ) in the east, normalized to 1000 m. Contrast to LREE depletion & negative Ce anomaly at 400 m (OMZ) in the west.

Pattern similarity to reductive leach of sediments supports redox mobilization.

Mobilization in anoxic aggregate micro-environments?

#### **Reductive mobilization– Additional clues**

Similar mobilization is not seen in the OMZ in the eastern tropical South Pacific

Hypotheses to explain OMZ behavior must account for regional contrasts.



Yingzhe Wu, LDEO, unpublished data GEOTRACES GP16 – Peru to Tahiti

See also Grasse et al., EPSL 2012

#### Idealized dissolved Nd profiles indicate multiple processes affect E Trop N Atlantic distributions



### Constant concentration with depth is consistent with reversible scavenging (<sup>232</sup>Th)

Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th (pmol/kg)

Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg)



There is no need to invoke rapid lateral mixing to explain the uniform [Nd] between 1 and 3 km in the eastern Atlantic

#### Idealized dissolved Nd profiles indicate multiple processes affect E Trop N Atlantic distributions

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th profiles show no signs of mobilization by dissolution of particle coatings

Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

Stichel et al., 2015

Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th (pmol/kg)

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

Unpublished

#### Dissolved <sup>230</sup>Th profiles indicate enhanced removal below 2.5 km, not mobilization

Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg)

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Stichel et al., 2015

Dissolved <sup>230</sup>Th (µBq/kg)

![](_page_37_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Figure_6.jpeg)

## How can we reconcile the contrasting indicators below 2.5 km?

Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg)

Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th (pmol/kg) Dissolved <sup>230</sup>Th ( $\mu$ Bq/kg)

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

Stichel et al., 2015

Unpublished

Hayes et al., 2015

# Physical transport of preformed trace elements

South Atlantic (CoFeMUG)

Preformed [Nd] accounts for >75% of the total [Nd]

End-member mixing of preformed tracers accounts for linear relationships, e.g., Nd – vs. – Si

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_5.jpeg)

Xinyuan Zheng et al., 2016

# Physical transport of preformed trace elements

#### South Atlantic (CoFeMUG)

Preformed [Nd] accounts for >75% of the total [Nd]

End-member mixing of preformed tracers accounts for linear relationships, e.g., Nd – vs. – Si

Departures indicate local supply or removal.

![](_page_40_Figure_5.jpeg)

## How can we reconcile the contrasting indicators below 2.5 km?

Dissolved Nd (pmol/kg)

Dissolved <sup>232</sup>Th (pmol/kg) Dissolved <sup>230</sup>Th ( $\mu$ Bq/kg)

![](_page_41_Figure_3.jpeg)

Preformed "AABW" below 2.5 km

Reversible Scavenging

Bottom scavenging

By invoking influence of all processes simultaneously.

#### Summary

Multiple processes affect trace element distributions.

Diagnostic tracers help discriminate among processes (eliminate hypotheses).

Advection of preformed tracers affects all but those with the shortest residence times.

SYNTHESIS = Identifying key processes by comparing tracers with distinct attributes in contrasting chemical environments.